

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/039,957	12/31/2001	Sithya S. Khieu	53325US002	8431
32692 7590 01/11/2007 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			EXAMINER	
			HARTMANN, GARY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
		······································	<b>,</b>	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MOI	NTHS	01/11/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/039,957	KHIEU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary Hartmann	3671				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M	ay 2006.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1,4-7,9-12,15-17 and 19-29 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20 and 24-26 is/are v</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1,4-7,9-12,15-17,19,21-23 and 27-29</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vithdrawn from consideration. is/are rejected.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2002 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P	nte				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 4-7, 9-12, 15-17, 19, 21-23 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. The chemical make-up of the watersoluble or water-dispersible polymeric film or web are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but not included in the claims is not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The polymers within the scope of this claim must be specifically disclosed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-7, 9-12, 15-17, 19, 21-23 and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ryan et al. (U.S. Patent 4,123,140).

Ryan discloses forming an array of pavement elements (Figure 5, for example) interconnected by a carrier web (10, 13). The connection between elements is frangible. Ryan

Art Unit: 3671

discloses that the web can be made from a wide variety of polymeric materials (see column 3, lines 36-53, for example); therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a water-soluble or water-dispersible material Regarding the recitations with respect to pavement, there are no recitations which distinguish these recitations from the substrates discussed in Ryan. There are also no claim recitations which prevent the elements of Ryan from meeting the recitations of discrete pavement elements.

The configurations of webs (10, 13) meet the recitations of claim 4.

The adhesive (51) is preferably pressure sensitive, and there is a liner (51b) covering the adhesive.

The web is severed.

Beads (22) meet the recitation of a retroreflective lens.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 571-272-6989. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,957 Page 4

Art Unit: 3671

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

gh

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671